AN APPROXIMATE ANALYTIC SOLUTION FOR THE STRESSES AND DISPLACEMENTS OF THIN-WALLED ORTHOTROPIC BEAMS SUBJECTED TO BENDING

F. Vlak^{a*}, R. Pavazza^a, M. Vukasović^a

^aFaculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Rudjera Boskovica 22, Split, Croatia *fvlak@fesb.hr

Keywords: thin-walled beams, bending, shear, orthotropic material.

Abstract

An approximate solution for the stresses and displacements according to the theory of bending with influence of shear is given. It is assumed that the normal stresses in the transverse direction are small compared to the normal stresses in the longitudinal direction so that can be ignored in the stress-strain relations. The solution for the stresses and displacements are given in the analytic form. The unidirectional orthotropic beams with double symmetrical cross-section are considered. The results are compared to the finite element method in several examples.

1. Introduction

The Euler-Bernoulli beam theory as well as the Vlasov's thin-walled beam theory do not take into account shear deformation due to shear forces [1]. The shear deformation effect as well the Poison's effect can be considered by using the methods of theory of elasticity [2,3], but in that case the problem is no longer one-dimensional. Approximate methods which take into account shear effect are developed [4,5,6]. The concept of shear factors first introduced by Timoshenko was used for these analysis [7,8]. Recent approaches to the problem are based on geometric assumptions [9-17] or shear energy relations [6]. Comparisons by numerical examples are given in [18-20].

The solution for the stresses and displacement according to the theory of bending with the shear influence [8,14,15,16,17,21] will be applied for orthotropic thin-walled beams. Pultruded beams are essentially orthotropic, with material principal directions parallel and transversal to the beam longitudinal axis. In that case, the beams can be considered as unidirectional orthotropic beams [22]. Beams with cross-sections with two axes of symmetry are considered. Poisson's effect is ignored, as well as the warping effect, defined by the "non-uniform warping bending theory" [20].

2. Strains and displacements

The displacement of a point S(x, s) at the middle line in the case of bending of thin-walled beams of open sections with respect to the *xz*-axis of symmetry can be expressed as (Fig. 1)

$$u_{\rm S} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}x}z + u + \int_0^s \gamma_{x\xi} \,\mathrm{d}s = \beta z + u + \int_0^s \gamma_{x\xi} \,\mathrm{d}s \,, \quad \beta = -\mathrm{d}w/\mathrm{d}x \tag{1}$$

where w = w(x) is the displacement in the *z*-direction, i.e. the displacement of the crosssection middle line as a rigid line in the plane of symmetry, z = z(s) is the rectangular coordinate, u = u(x) is the displacement of the cross-section middle line as a rigid line in the *x*-direction, $\gamma_{x\xi} = \gamma_{x\xi}(x,s)$ is the shear strain in the beam middle surface, *s* is the curvilinear coordinate of the middle line, ξ is the tangential axis on the curvilinear coordinate *s*; Oxyz is the orthogonal coordinate system, where the *y* and *z*-axis are the axes of symmetry; $\beta = \beta(x)$ is the angular displacement of the middle line as rigid line with respect to the *y*-axis, orthogonal to the *z*-axis.



Figure 1. Portion of the cross-section middle line

The displacements can be expressed as

$$w = w_b + w_a, \quad u = u_a \tag{2}$$

where where $w_b = w_b(x)$ is the displacement of the cross-sections as plane sections in the *z*-direction, as in the case of the ordinary theory of bending, $w_a = w_a(x)$ is the additional displacement due to shear in the *z*-direction, $u_a = u_a(x)$ is the additional displacement due to shear in the *x*-direction. The angular displacements can be expressed as

$$\beta = \beta_b + \beta_a, \ \beta_b = -dw_b/dx, \ \beta_a = -dw_a/dx$$
(3)

Thus, the strain in the longitudinal direction can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{E}_{x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} w}{\mathrm{d} x^{2}} z - \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{d} x} + \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\partial \gamma_{x\xi}}{\partial x} \mathrm{d} s$$
(4)

3. Stresses and displacements

Hooke's law for the plane stress condition and unidirectional lamina can be expressed as

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{E_1 \varepsilon_1 + E_2 \nu_{12} \varepsilon_2}{1 - \nu_{21} \nu_{12}}, \ \sigma_2 = \frac{E_2 \varepsilon_2 + E_2 \nu_{12} \varepsilon_1}{1 - \nu_{21} \nu_{12}}, \ \frac{\nu_{12}}{\nu_{21}} = \frac{E_1}{E_2}, \ \tau_{12} = G_{12} \gamma_{12} \tag{5}$$

where σ_1 and σ_2 are the normal stresses in the major (1) and minor (2) directions, respectively; ε_1 and ε_2 are the normal strains; E_1 and E_2 are the moduli of elasticity; ν_{12} is the major Poisson's ratio; τ_{12} is the shear stress and G_{12} is the shear modulus. From Eqs (5)

$$\sigma_1 = E_1 \varepsilon_1 f, \ f = \left(1 - \nu_{12} \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \right)^{-1}.$$
 (6)

The function f can be calculated for the flange of simply supported thin-walled beam with I-section subjected to bending by uniform loads per unit length, for the maximal normal stresses at the beam midspan at the junction of the beam web and the flange [16], using

$$\sigma_2/\sigma_1 = \frac{m^2}{1 + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{E_1}{G_{12}} - \frac{v_{12}}{2}\right) m^2}, \quad \left(\sigma_2/\sigma_1\right)_{iso.} = \frac{m^2}{1 + \frac{2}{3} \left(2 + \frac{3}{2}v\right) m^2}, \quad m = \frac{b}{l}, \tag{7}$$

for the orthotropic and isotropic material, respectively; where *l* is the beam length and *b* the flange breadth. For $E_1 = 53.78$ GPa, $G_{12} = 8.96$ GPa, $v_{12} = 0.25$ (glass/epoxy [23]), and v = 0.3 (steel), the results are given in Tab. 1.

l/b	σ_2 / σ_1	$(\sigma_2 / \sigma_1)_{iso.}$	f	$f_{iso.}$
3	0.0774	0.0940	1.0197	1.0238
5	0.0346	0.0375	1.0087	1.0105

Table 1. The function f, given by (7) and (8), for orthotropic and isotropic material, respectively

The function f, as it is shown, is very closed to one, even for extremely low l/b ratios. Thus, the Hooke's law for unidirectional laminas can be expressed as

$$\sigma_x = E_x \varepsilon_x, \ \tau_{x\xi} = G \gamma_{x\xi}, \tag{8}$$

where $\sigma_x = \sigma_x(x,s) = \sigma_1$, $E_x = E_1$, $\tau_{x\xi} = \tau_{x\xi}(x,s) = \tau_{12}$ and $G_{12} = G$. From Eqs. (4) and (8)

$$\sigma_x = -E_x \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w}{\mathrm{d}x^2} z + E_x \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x} + \frac{E_x}{G} \int_0^s \frac{\partial \tau_{x\xi}}{\partial x} \mathrm{d}s \,. \tag{9}$$

From the equilibrium of a differential portion of the beam wall

$$\tau_{x\xi} = \frac{1}{t} \left[-\int_0^s \frac{\partial(\sigma_x t)}{\partial x} \, \mathrm{d}s + g(x) \right], \quad g = t(\mathbf{M}) \cdot \tau_{\xi x}(x, \mathbf{M}) = T_{\mathbf{M}}(x), \tag{10}$$

where t = t(s) is the wall thickness and M is the starting point of the curvilinear coordinate *s*. If $\partial \tau_{x\xi} / \partial x = const.$, referring to Eqs. (9) and (10)

$$\tau_{x\xi} = \frac{1}{t} \left[T_M + E_x \left(\frac{d^3 w}{dx^3} S_y(s) - \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} A(s) \right) \right], \ S_y(s) = \int_0^s z \, dA \ , \ A(s) = \int_0^s dA \ , \ dA = t \, ds$$
(11)

$$\tau_{x\xi} = \frac{E_x}{t} \left(-\frac{d^3 w}{dx^3} S_y^* + \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} A^* \right), \ S_y^* = \int_{s^*} z \, dA^*, \ A^* = \int_{s^*} dA^*, \ dA^* = t \, ds^*, \ ds^* = -ds$$
(12)

where $S_y^* = S_y^*(s)$ is the moment of the cut-of portion of area with respect to the *y*-axis, $A^* = A^*(s)$ is the cut-of portion of portion of the beam wall area with respect to *y*-axis, s^* is the curvilinear coordinate of the cut-of portion of the beam wall area, from the free edge, i.e. where $\tau_{x\xi} = 0$.

4. Equilibrium equations

For a portion of the beam wall

$$\sum F_{x} = \int_{L} \frac{\partial(\sigma_{x}t)}{\partial x} dx ds = 0, \ \sum F_{z} = \int_{L} \frac{\partial(\tau_{x\xi}t)}{\partial x} \sin\varphi dx ds + q_{z} dx = 0;$$
(13)

$$\int_{L} \frac{\partial \left(\tau_{x\xi} t\right)}{\partial x} dz + q_{z} = 0, \ \sin \varphi = \frac{dz}{ds},$$
(14)

where $q_z = q_z(x)$ are the forces per unit length acting in the beam plane of symmetry. Referring to Eqs.(9) and (11)

$$-E_{x}S_{y}\frac{d^{3}w}{dx^{3}} + E_{x}A\frac{d^{2}u}{dx^{2}} = 0, \ E_{x}I_{y}\frac{d^{4}w}{dx^{4}} - E_{x}S_{y}\frac{d^{3}u}{dx^{3}} = q_{z}; \ A = \int_{A}dA, \ I_{y} = \int_{A}z^{2} dA = 0$$
(15)

where, due to symmetry, $S_y = 0$. Thus

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = 0, \quad E_x I_y \frac{d^4 w}{dx^4} = q_z$$
(16)

5. Internal forces and stresses

Integration of the shear stresses over the cross-sections gives

- 2

$$\int_{A} \tau_{x\xi} \sin \varphi \, \mathrm{d}A = Q_{z} \tag{17}$$

where $Q_z = Q_z(x)$ is the shear force with respect to the *z*-axis. Substitution of Eq. (12) gives

$$Q_z = -E_x I_y \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 w}{\mathrm{d}x^3}; \quad \int_L S_y^* \mathrm{d}z = \int_A z^2 \,\mathrm{d}A = I_y, \quad \sin\varphi \,\mathrm{d}A = \sin\varphi \,t\mathrm{d}s = t\mathrm{d}z. \tag{18}$$

Referring to Eqs. (16)

$$\mathrm{d}Q_z/\mathrm{d}x = -q_z,\tag{19}$$

Thus, by substituting Eq.(12) into (18)

$$\tau_{x\xi} = \frac{Q_z S_y^*}{I_y t} \,. \tag{20}$$

Integration of the normal stresses over the cross-sections gives

$$\int_{A} \sigma_{x} dA = 0, \quad M_{y} = \int_{A} \sigma_{x} z dA, \quad (21)$$

where $M_y = M_y(x)$ is the bending moment with respect to the y-axis. By substituting Eq.(9) into (21)

$$E_{x}A\frac{du}{dx} - N^{z} = 0, \quad M_{y} = -E_{x}I_{y}\frac{d^{2}w}{d^{2}x} - M_{y}^{z}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$N^{z} = \frac{E_{x}q_{z}}{GI_{y}} \int_{A} dA \int_{0}^{s} \frac{S_{y}^{*}}{t} ds = \frac{E_{x}q_{z}}{GI_{y}} \int_{L} \frac{A^{*}S_{y}^{*}}{t} ds, \qquad (23)$$

$$M_{y}^{z} = \frac{E_{x}q_{z}}{GI_{y}} \int_{A} z \, \mathrm{d}A \int_{0}^{s} \frac{S_{y}^{*}}{t} \, \mathrm{d}s = \frac{E_{x}q_{z}}{GI_{y}} \int_{L} \left(\frac{S_{y}^{*}}{t}\right)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}A \tag{24}$$

Due to symmetry $\int_{L} \frac{A^* S_y^*}{t} ds = 0$. Thus, $N^z = 0$ and referring to Eqs. (16), (18) and (22)

$$\frac{d^2 u}{d^2 x} = 0, \quad -E_x I_y \frac{d^3 w}{d^3 x} = \frac{dM_y}{dx} + \frac{dM_y^z}{dx} = Q_z, \quad -E_x I_y \frac{d^4 w}{d^4 x} = \frac{d^2 M_y}{dx^2} = \frac{dQ_z}{dx} = -q_z \quad (25)$$

The normal stress given by Eq. (9), according to Eqs. (20) and second expression of (25), finally can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{x} = \frac{M_{y}}{I_{y}}z + \frac{M_{y}^{z}}{I_{y}}z - \frac{E_{x}}{G} \cdot \frac{q_{z}}{I_{y}} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{S_{y}^{*}}{t} ds .$$
 (26)

The component M_y^z given by Eq. (24) can also be written as

$$M_{y}^{z} = \frac{E_{x}I_{y}}{GA}\kappa_{z}q_{z}, \quad \kappa_{z} = \frac{A}{I_{y}^{2}}\int_{A}\left(\frac{S_{y}^{*}}{t}\right)^{2}dA, \quad (27)$$

where κ_z is the shear factor with respect to the *w*-displacements. Then, normal stress can be expressed as

$$\sigma_x = \frac{M_y}{I_y} z + \frac{E_x \kappa_z}{GA} q_z z - \frac{E_x}{GI_y} q_z \int_0^s \frac{S_y^*}{t} ds .$$
(28)

6. Differential equations with separated displacements

Eqs. (22), according to Eqs. (27), can be expressed as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x} = 0, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w}{\mathrm{d}x^2} = -\frac{M_y}{E_x I_y} - \frac{\kappa_z}{GA} q_z. \tag{29}$$

According to Eqs. (2)

$$\frac{d^2 w_b}{dx^2} = -\frac{M_y}{E_x I_y}, \quad \frac{d^2 w_a}{dx^2} = -\frac{k_z}{GA} q_z, \quad (30)$$

Integrating, taking into account Eqs. (3) and (19)

$$u = const.$$
, $\frac{\mathrm{d}w_a}{\mathrm{d}x} = -\beta_a = \frac{Q_z k_z}{GA}$. (31)

Integration constants are ignored. It is assumed that the angular displacements β_a do not depend on the boundary conditions. Then

$$E_{x}I_{y}\frac{d^{3}w_{b}}{dx^{3}} = -\frac{dM_{y}}{dx} = -Q_{z}, \quad E_{x}I_{y}\frac{d^{4}w_{b}}{dx^{4}} = -\frac{d^{2}M_{y}}{dx^{2}} = -\frac{dQ_{z}}{dx} = q_{z}.$$
 (32)

Integrating the second equation of Eqs. (31) it is obtained that

$$w_a = \frac{\kappa_z}{GA} M_y + C_w.$$
(33)

where C_w is integration constant with respect to the *w*-displacements.

7. Boundary conditions

For starting section A:

$$w_a = 0, \quad C_w = -\frac{\kappa_z M_{yA}}{GA}, \quad w = w_b + \frac{\kappa_z \left(M_y - M_{yA}\right)}{GA},$$
 (34)

where M_{yA} is the bending moment at $x = x_A$. For simply supported beams, for hinged sections A and B it may be written

$$w\Big|_{x=x_{A,B}} = w_b\Big|_{x=x_{A,B}} = 0, \ d^2 w_b / dx^2\Big|_{x=x_{A,B}} = 0, \ (M_{yA,B} = 0).$$
 (35)

For the clamped beams

$$w\Big|_{x=x_{\rm A}} = w_b\Big|_{x=x_{\rm A}} = 0 \ , \qquad dw_b/dx_{x=x_{\rm A}} = 0 ;$$

$$w\Big|_{x=x_{\rm B}} = w_b\Big|_{x=x_{\rm B}} + \frac{\kappa_z EI_y}{GA} \Big(-d^2 w_b/dx^2\Big|_{x=x_{\rm B}} + d^2 w_b/dx^2\Big|_{x=x_{\rm A}}\Big) = 0 \ , \ dw_b/dx_{x=x_{\rm B}} = 0 \ . \tag{36}$$

8. Shear factors

The normal stress at junction of the web and the flange, according to Eq. (30), can be expressed as

$$\sigma_x = \pm \lambda \frac{M_y}{I_y} \cdot \frac{h}{2}, \qquad (37)$$

where for beams loaded by uniformly distributed forces per unit length for the beam midspan

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{8\kappa_z I_y}{Al^2} \cdot \frac{E_x}{G} \left[1 - \frac{A(6A_1 + A_0)h}{12I_y t_0 \kappa_z} \right], \quad \lambda = 1 + \frac{24\kappa_z I_y}{Al^2} \cdot \frac{E_x}{G} \left[1 - \frac{A(6A_1 + A_0)h}{12I_y t_0 \kappa_z} \right]$$
(38)

for simply supported and clamped beams, respectively. The total displacement, according to Eq. (36), can be expressed as

$$w = \eta w_b, \tag{39}$$

where for beams loaded by uniformly distributed forces per unit length for the beam midspan

$$\eta = 1 + \frac{48}{5} \cdot \frac{\kappa_z E_x I_y}{GAl^2}, \quad \eta = 1 + 48 \frac{\kappa_z E_x I_y}{GAl^2} \tag{40}$$

for simply supported beams and clamped beams, respectively.

For simple double symmetrical cross-sections (Fig.2)

$$\kappa_{z} = \frac{6(2+\psi)^{3}(30+10\psi+\psi^{2}+5\psi\rho^{2})}{5\psi[12+\psi(8+\psi)]^{2}}, \ I_{y} = A_{1}h^{2}\frac{12+\psi(8+\psi)}{12(2+\psi)}, \ A = A_{1}(2+\psi)$$
(41)

where $A_1 = bt_1$, $A_0 = ht_0$, $\psi = A_0/A_1$, $\rho = b/h$.



Figure 2. Simple double symmetrical cross-sections

9. Illustrative examples

The factors η and λ , obtained analitically using Eqs. (38) and (40), for simply supported and clamped beams are compared with numerically obtained results by applying the finite element method using ADINA software. The results at the beam midspan are presented in Tab. 2 and Tab. 3. The 9-noded shell elements are used for the FEM analysis of 3D geometry model. The cross-section properties are defined according to Fig. 2: b = h = 100 mm, $t_0 = t_1 = 5$ mm. The distributed line load of 1 kN/m is applied to act at neutral axis of the cross-section. The material models are analysed with following properties: for orthotropic material ($E_x = 53.78$ GPa, G = 8.96 GPa) and for isotropic material (E = 210 GPa, v = 0.3). The analyses are performed for two different beam lengths (l/b = 3 and l/b = 5). In the FEM analysis, only one half of the model is analysed using appropriate boundary conditions at the beam midspan and at the starting sections (simple supported and clamped boundary conditions).

	Orthotropic				Isotropic			
l/b	Simply s	Simply supported C		mped Simply su		upported	oorted Clamped	
	(38)	FEM	(38)	FEM	(38)	FEM	(38)	FEM
3	1.394	1.384	2.181	2.003	1.171	1.176	1.512	1.469
5	1.142	1.142	1.425	1.407	1.061	1.063	1.184	1.168

Table 2. The factors λ , according to (38), for orthotropic and isotropic material, respectively

	Orthotropic				Isotropic			
l/b	Simply supported		Clamped		Simply supported		Clamped	
	(40)	FEM	(40)	FEM	(40)	FEM	(40)	FEM
3	5.207	5.312	22.036	21.710	2.822	2.899	10.112	10.068
5	2.515	2.535	8.573	8.449	1.656	1.676	4.280	4.256

Table 3. The factors η , according to (40), for orthotropic and isotropic material, respectively

10. Conclusion

An analytical solution for bending of thin-walled beams under the influence of shear for double symmetrical cross-sections is given. The shear factors are given in the parametric form in order to compare the shear influence on the beam bending both for orthotropic and isotropic materials. It is shown that the shear influence in the case of unidirectional orthotropic beams is significant, and must be taken into account even in the case of higher beam aspect ratios. Several examples are analyzed in comparison with the finite element method. Excellent agreements of the results are obtained.

References

[1] V. Z. Vlasov. Thin-Walled Beams (in Russian). Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1959.

- [2] P. Ladeveze and J. G. Simmonds. New concepts for linear beam theory with arbitrary geometry and loading, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, 332, 455-462, 1998.
- [3] R. El Fatmi and H. Zenzri. On the structural behaviour and the Saint Venant solution in the exact beam theory. *Computers and Structures*, 80 (16-17), 1441-1456, 2002.
- [4] N. G. Stephen. Timoshenko's shear coefficient from a beam subjected to gravity load, *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 47, 121-127, 1980.
- [5] A. Prokić, New finite element for analysis of shear leg. *Computers and Structures*, 80, 1011-1024, 2002.
- [6] W. D. Pilkey. Analysis and Design of Elastic Beams. Computational Methods, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2002.
- [7] S. P. Timoshenko. On the correction for shear of the differential equation for transverse vibrations of prismatic bars. *Philosophical Magazine*, 41, 744-746, 1921.
- [8] R. Pavazza. Introduction to the analysis of thin-walled structures (in Croatian), Kigen, Zagreb, 2007.
- [9] G. R Cowper. The shear coefficient in Timoshenko's beam theory. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 33, 335-340, 1966.
- [10] W.E. Mason and L.R Herrmann. Elastic shear analysis of general prismatic beams. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 94, 965-983, 1968.
- [11] U. Bhat de J.G. Oliveira: A formulation for the shear coefficient of thin-walled prismatic beams, *Journal of Ship Research*, 29, 51-58, 1985.
- [12]I. Senjanović and Y. Fan. The bending and shear coefficient of thin-walled girders. *Thin Walled Structures*, 10, 31-57, 1990.
- [13] R. Pavazza. On the load distribution of thin-walled beams subjected to bending with respect to the cross-section distortion. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*. 44, 423-442, 2002.
- [14] R. Pavazza and B. Blagojević. On the stress distribution in thin-walled beams subjected to bending with influence of shear. 4th International Congress of Croatian Society of Mechanics, September, 18-20,04-29, 2003.
- [15] A. Matoković. *Bending and torsion of thin-walled beams of open section with influence of shear*, Ph.D. thesis. Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Split, 2012.
- [16] R. Pavazza. An approximate solution for thin rectangular orthotropic/isotropic strips under tension by line loads. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 37, 4353-4375, 2000.
- [17] R. Pavazza and S. Jović. A comparison of the analytical methods and the finite element method in the analysis of thin-walled beams subjected to bending by uniform loads. *Transaction of FAMENA*, 31, 1, 37-54, 2007.
- [18] F.A. Charney et all. Computation of major axis shear deformations in wide flange steel girder and columns. *Journal of Construction Steel Research*, 61, 1525-1558, 2005.
- [19] E. Carrera at all. *Beam Structures. Classical and Advanced Theories*, John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [20] R. El Fatmi. Non-uniform warping including the effects of torsion and shear forces, Part I: A general beam theory, *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 2007.
- [21] R. Pavazza at all. Bending of thin-walled beams of symmetrical open cross-sections with influence of shear. *Transaction of FAMENA*, 37, 3, 21-28. 2013.
- [22] A. K. Kaw. Mechanics of Composite Materials. CRC Press, New York, 1997.
- [23] N. I. Kim. Shear deformable doubly- and mono-symmetric composite I-beams. *International Journal of mechanical sciences*, 53, 31-41, 2011.