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Abstract

Dense superconducting MgB\textsubscript{2} samples with relative density above 90 % were obtained by Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS). Starting composition of the La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-added samples was (MgB\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{0.975}(LaO\textsubscript{1.5})\textsubscript{0.025}. We used two powders of La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} with particle size above and below 100 nm. The obtained SPS-ed La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-added samples can be viewed as composites: chemical substitutions effects are not significant, if any. There are major morphology differences between SPS-ed samples resulting in very different critical current density, $J_c$. A higher $J_c$ at 5 and 20 K for the entire field range was obtained for La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} with the average particle size higher than 100 nm. Contrary to other rare earth oxide additions and to literature, addition of La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} did not improve $J_c$ vs. pristine MgB\textsubscript{2} sample.

1 Introduction

MgB\textsubscript{2} gain an important attention since the discovery of its superconducting properties [1]. Although it is an attractive material due to its lightness, low price and availability enhancement of the superconducting properties, such as current density and irreversibility magnetic field are necessary. Rare earth (RE) elements, their oxides or compounds were added [2-11] to MgB\textsubscript{2}. In this work we used La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} ‘nano’ powders (nano, <100 nm) and ‘micro’ powders (μ, >100 nm) as additions to MgB\textsubscript{2}. Resulting composites have different critical current density, $J_c$. They also show differences if compared to pristine MgB\textsubscript{2} sample. Our work indicates that not only the type of the addition, but also the morphology has an important role in controlling the superconducting properties. For samples preparation we used ex-situ Spark Plasma Sintering technique (SPS).

2 Materials and testing

Raw materials (Table 1) were MgB\textsubscript{2} and La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} powders. There are different sizes and shapes of the aggregates and particles (Fig. 1) for the La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} powders. MgB\textsubscript{2} and addition powders were manually mixed in an agate mortar. Powder mixtures were loaded into a 2 cm diameter graphite die and processed by SPS (FCT Systeme GmbH – HP D 5, Germany) at 1150 °C for 3 minutes. Heating rate was 160 °C/min. Temperature was monitored with a pyrometer, through an axial hole in the upper punch, ended at 2 mm above
the sample. Uniaxial pressure applied on punches was 95 MPa. The initial vacuum in the furnace was 30 Pa. A pulsed current with a pattern of 12-on/2-off pulses was applied, with a 3 ms period. The total time of one sequence (cycle) was ~ 0.04 s. The operating voltage and the peak current were below 5 V and 1600 A, respectively.

![SEM images for raw rare earth oxide powders](image)

**Fig. 1.** SEM images for raw rare earth oxide powders: (a) μ-La₂O₃, (b) nano-La₂O₃.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material</th>
<th>Average Particle Size (APS), according to the supplier</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Purity (%)</th>
<th>Addition amount (wt. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgB₂</td>
<td>1-2 μm (our SEM data show particles &lt; 280 nm)</td>
<td>Alfa Aesar</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ-La₂O₃</td>
<td>100&lt;APS&lt;200 nm</td>
<td>Alfa Aesar</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nano-La₂O₃</td>
<td>15-30 nm</td>
<td>Chempur</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Some characteristics of the raw powders and their amount as addition in the starting powder mixtures.

Scanning electron microscopy images (SEM-EDS) were taken with Zeiss EVO50 on fresh surface of the fractured samples.

The magnetic moment, \( m \), was registered using a commercial Vibrating Sample Magnetometer, VSM (Cryogenic). The external magnetic field, \( H \), was applied perpendicular to the largest sample side. The measured samples were parallelepipeds (~ 1.5 x 1.5 x 1 mm³). All samples were cut from the center of the sintered disc using an oil cooled saw. The \( m(H) \) curves were measured with the magnet in the hysteresis mode. Loops of mass magnetization \( m \) vs. applied magnetic field \( H \) were corrected by subtracting the magnetic influence induced by the presence of LaB₆ phase. We consider the \( m(H) \) values measured on the decreasing branch to determine the critical current density, \( J_c \), using the Bean relation [12] modified for a plate-like geometry (equation 1), [13].

\[
J_c = \frac{60 \cdot m}{V \cdot \ell}
\]

where \( m \) is the magnetic moment (emu), \( V \) is the sample volume (cm³), and \( \ell \) is the basal square side (cm).

**3 Results**

SEM observation (Fig. 2) reveals samples with two regions. The first one consist of relatively clean MgB₂, composed of dense large blocks (darker regions in backscattering images), and the second one is a matrix rich in La, which surrounds the relatively ‘clean’ MgB₂. This delimitation into regions is relative, since both regions show different degrees of purity and phase distribution. Very different morphologies of SPS-ed samples lead to different critical
current density.

![SEM images for MgB₂ with µ-La₂O₃ (a) and n-La₂O₃ (b) additions.](image)

Curves of the critical current density ($J_c$) versus magnetic field are shown in Fig. 3. At 5 K the sample with nano-La₂O₃ addition has a lower $J_c$ than the one with µ-La₂O₃ addition. At magnetic fields of about 6 T, both added samples have the same $J_c$ as pristine MgB₂.

At 20 K (Fig. 3.b), again the sample with nano-La₂O₃ addition has a lower $J_c$ than the sample with µ-La₂O₃ addition. At about 3.5 T, the $J_c$ of µ-La₂O₃ added MgB₂ is the same as pristine MgB₂, while the $J_c$ of nano-La₂O₃ added MgB₂ is slightly lower.

![Critical current density versus magnetic field plots of the pristine and added samples at 5 K (a) and 20 K (b).](image)

4 Conclusions

High density MgB₂ samples added with micro and nano powders of La₂O₃ were obtained by SPS technique. Our samples can be considered composite bulks as observed from the particular morphology revealed by electron microscopy and considering the lack of substitution effects as observed from x-ray diffraction data (not presented).

SPS-ed samples show the enhancement of $J_c$ (at different $T$ and $H$) for µ-La₂O₃, if compared to nano-La₂O₃ addition. However, both added samples have a lower $J_c$ than for pristine MgB₂.

To explain the different $J_c$ of the SPS-ed samples one has to take into consideration the different morphology of our composite samples induced by the addition raw powder morphology features. We can conclude that not only the addition type is important, but also the morphology features of the additions.
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